

**DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE – November 2, 2021**  
**REQUIRED FINDINGS FOR:**

**16050 Greenridge Terrace and 16060 Greenridge Terrace**  
**Subdivision Application M-21-005**

**Requesting approval for a lot line adjustment between two adjacent lots on properties zoned HR-1. APNs 527-15-009 and -008.**

**PROPERTY OWNERS/APPLICANTS: Mohammad Rahmani and Robert L. Bower**

**FINDINGS**

**Required findings for CEQA:**

- The project is not subject to the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to the adopted Guidelines for the Implementation of CEQA, Section 15061(b)(3): A project is exempt from CEQA when the activity is covered by the common sense exemption that CEQA only applies to projects which have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment. Where it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question will have a significant effect on the environment, the activity is not subject to CEQA.

**Required findings to deny a Subdivision application:**

- As required by Section 66474 of the State Subdivision Map Act the map shall be denied if any of the following findings are made: **None of the findings could be made to deny the application.**

Instead, the Development Review Committee makes the following affirmative findings:

- a. That the proposed map is consistent with all elements of the General Plan.
- b. That the design and improvement of the proposed subdivision is consistent with all elements of the General Plan.
- c. That the site is physically suitable for the type of development.
- d. That the site is physically suitable for the proposed density of development.
- e. That the design of the subdivision and the proposed improvements are not likely to cause substantial environmental damage nor substantially and avoidably injure fish or wildlife or their habitat.
- f. That the design of the subdivision and type of improvements is not likely to cause serious public health problems.
- g. That the design of the subdivision and the type of improvements will not conflict with easements, acquired by the public at large, for access through or use of, property within the proposed subdivision.